

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 08/15/2001 Raymond F. Cracauer FORS-06449 2205 09/930,535 EXAMINER 23535 11/04/2005 MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP NAGPAUL, JYOTI 101 HOWARD STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 1743

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/930,535		CRACAUER ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jyoti Nagpaul		1743	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover s	sheet with the co	rrespondence addr	ess
 WHICHEVER IS LO Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro If NO period for reply is sp Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the 	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY NGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 m the mailing date of this communication. secified above, the maximum statutory period was or extended period for reply will, by statute, Office later than three months after the mailing ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SI, cause the application to be	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be time X (6) MONTHS from the	ely filed ne mailing date of this comment (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
2a) ☐ This action is 3. ☐ Since this app	rcommunication(s) filed on <u>15 Au</u> FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Ilication is in condition for allowar Indance with the practice under E	action is non-final	nal matters, pros		nerits is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) 8) Claim(s) Application Papers 9) The specification The drawing(s) Applicant may replacement drawing replacement d	3 <u>,25-33,49,57,58,64,69 <i>and</i> 74</u> is	vn from considerated. I/are rejected. r election requirement r. epted or b) object drawing(s) be held int ion is required if the	cted to by the En abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	nterview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Dat lotice of Informal Pa other:	•	52)

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment filed on August 15, 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 18-23,25-33,49,57-58,64,69 and 74 are pending.

Response to Amendment

Rejection of Claims 18-23,25-33,49,57-58,64,69 and 74 as being anticipated by Zelinka (US 4598049) has been *modified* in light of applicant's arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 1743

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 18-23,25-33,49,57-58,64,69 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zelinka (US 4598049).

Zelinka teaches an automated system comprising a closed system nucleic synthesizer configured for parallel synthesis of three or more polymers. (Col. 7, Lines 14-16). Zelinka further teaches a controlled gene synthesizer for programmably synthesizing selected nucleotide sequences. The apparatus operates to sequentially wash and dry the contents of the cell, expose nucleotide reaction sites, add and couple bases at the reaction sites and cap or protect the reaction sites by a robotic component. Some of the functions related to operator programming of a desired DNA coupling sequence include selecting various ones or combinations of the individuals bases; adding the selected bases or combinations to the reaction cell with appropriate preparation and washout steps; performing the washing of the reaction cell for the next base addition. (Lines 61-3, Col. 17-18) Zelinka describes base addition includes refilling of syringes, performing a pyridine wash and nitrogen blow down of the reaction cell, capping the ends of the nucleotide sequences, cell temperature control and resetting the elapsed time counter. Zelinka also discusses means for heating the reaction cell to promote the growth process. (Lines 56-57, Col. 2) The temperature controller provides the operator with pertinent temperature information as it relates to the reaction cell. The temperature controller generally monitors the temperature of the reaction cell which is heated by an

Art Unit: 1743

epoxy encapsulated thin film heater element that is wrapped around the reaction cell. With respect to Claims 63-69,70,72, and 78, Zelinka teaches temperature control and is clearly capable of operating in with the recited temperature range. "Providing an optimized reaction temperature" is considered an intended use of the apparatus and is not accorded patentable weight in claims to that apparatus. The temperature is set and read via a set/read switch. (Lines 55-68, Col. 5) Zelinka describes a fluid circuit exists from source to waste and at any time reagents are being transferred. Zelinka discloses a plurality of syringe bodies/ reagent dispensers or pressurized containers for delivery of reagents. (Col. 6, Lines 12-16) (Col. 5, Lines 8-10) Zelinka further discloses agitating/mixing means. (Col. 4, Lines 38-39)(Col. 6, Lines 27-30) Zelinka teaches isolation is achieved via a valve body V17 that acts as a selector valve for the solvent bottles. (Lines 52-55, Col. 11) The enablement of the valve body V17, only one or desired simultaneous combination of the solvent/reagents is selected and directed to valve body V26. Depending on the position of valve body V26 the liquid is again selectively directed either through reaction cell 14 to valve body V27 and then to collection or waste; or is blocked from flowing through cell 14. In this which case a nitrogen or argon gas purge is directed from the top of the cell 14. (Lines 57-65, Col. 11, Refer to Fig. 6) Zelinka teaches a closed system. Zelinka further discloses a detritylation step for which the chemicals are delivered to an external collector and tested via known techniques and spectrophotometric test and recording apparatus. (Col. 5, Lines 22-26) With respect to Claim 34, Zelinka discloses a plurality of injectors that are simultaneously actuable. (Claim 1, Lines 30-32)

Art Unit: 1743

Zelinka fails to explicitly disclose three or more reaction chambers. Zelinka does teach, "At this point, it should also be recognized that while the present description is made with respect to a single reaction cell 14, conceivably a number of such cells could be coupled to the present apparatus. Each such additional cells 14 could then, too, either be vibrated and/or heated as desired and within which identical or different sequences could be grown, depending on the programming of the controller 12. Such additional cells 14 then, too, could be operated in parallel or sequentially so as to optimize the through-put of the present apparatus." (See Col. 7, Lines 6-16)

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention by applicant to modify the system of Zelinka such that there are three or more reaction chambers in order to optimize the throughput of the apparatus.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-23,25-33,49,57-58,64,69 and 74 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jyoti Nagpaul whose telephone number is 571-272-1273. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700